

Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 5095-5097

Tetrahedron Letters

## Synthesis of unsymmetrically 2,6-disubstituted 2,3-dihydrothiopyran-4-ones

## Anna Rosiak and Jens Christoffers\*

Institut für Reine und Angewandte Chemie, Carl von Ossietzky-Universität Oldenburg, D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany

Received 20 March 2006; revised 10 May 2006; accepted 15 May 2006

Available online 6 June 2006

**Abstract**—A series of 2,3-dihydrothiopyran-4-one derivatives with unequal substituents in the 2- and 6-position have been prepared by double conjugate addition of sulfide to enynones. These starting materials were accessed in two steps from terminal alkynes and  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Dihydrothiopyran-4-one derivatives 5 are important building blocks for the synthesis of heterocyclic compounds<sup>1</sup> and organic materials for electronic applications.<sup>2</sup> They have been accessed by oxidation of the respective tetrahydrothiopyran-4-ones by a halogenation-dehydrohalogenation sequence with various chlorinating<sup>3</sup> and fluorinating<sup>4</sup> reagents. Since this oxidation was reported to proceed without any significant regioselectivity, only symmetrically substituted compounds of this class have been reported in the literature so far. In the course of a project related to the pharmaceutical application we were looking for dihydrothiopyran-4-ones 5 with unsymmetrical 2,6-disubstitution as heterocyclic building blocks. An obvious retrosynthetic approach to these compounds is the double conjugate addition of sulfide to an enynone 4 with the appropriate substitution pattern. It was however not clear whether an initial conjugate addition to the triple bond of these starting materials would lead to a Z-configurated donor-acceptor substituted olefin, which of course would be the precondition for the formation of the heterocyclic ring in the second conjugate addition to the C–C double bond. Conjugate addition reactions of nitrogen nucleophiles have been reported in the literature to give enaminones with a E-configurated C-C double bond, 5 which is of course the thermodynamically more favoured situation. And indeed, in our hands, the conversion of enynones 4 with primary amines led to the exclusive

Keywords: Thiopyrane derivatives; Heterocyclic compounds; Sulfur compounds; Alkynes; Conjugate addition.

formation of enaminones with two C–C double bonds. No piperidone derivatives were detected. Therefore we conclude, that at least for *N*-nucleophiles the conjugate addition to the C–C triple bond is the predominating process.

Enynones 4 (Scheme 1) were prepared starting from a series of terminal alkynes 1 by deprotonating them with one equivalent of *n*-BuLi in THF and further conversion with cinnamaldehyde 2a or acroleine 2b. After aqueous workup and chromatographic purification allylic alcohols

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of dihydrothiopyrones **5** from alkynes **1** via allylalcohols **3** and vinylketones **4**, for yields and substituents R and R' see Table 1. 2-ME = 2-methoxyethanol.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 441 798 4744; fax: +49 441 798 3873; e-mail: jens.christoffers@uni-oldenburg.de

3 were obtained, <sup>6</sup> which were subsequently oxidized with an excess (10–30 equiv)  $MnO_2$  at 23 °C in  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The progress of this reaction was followed by TLC, and after full conversion as achieved (5–30 min), materials containing manganese were simply removed by filtration to yield the double Michael acceptors **4**, which were analytically pure without further purification. <sup>7</sup> Yields for both steps are generally very good, in some cases even quantitative (Table 1). Alcohols **3a–i** and ketones **4a–i** originating from cinnamaldehyde **2a** (R' = H) are exclusively obtained with the C-C double bond in *E*-configuration.

Whereas vinylketones  $4\mathbf{a}$ — $\mathbf{i}$  with R' = Ph show reasonable stability, their congeners  $4\mathbf{j}$ — $\mathbf{k}$  deriving from acroleine (R' = H) tend to decompose within an hour at ambient conditions and can even not be stored at lower temperatures and must therefore be further converted directly after filtration.

The result of the double thia-Michael reaction was highly dependent on the solvent applied. Best results

**Table 1.** Yields and substituents R and R' in the synthesis of dihydrothiopyrones 5

Entry	R	R′	Yield of 3 (%)	Yield of 4 (%)	Yield of 5 (%)
a	Ph	Ph	89	96	94
b	MeO MeO	Ph	94	84	96
c	EtO MeO	Ph	79	99	92
d	CF <sub>3</sub>	Ph	78	99	84
e	FF	Ph	66	99	65
f	S	Ph	51	99	81
g	N	Ph	92	75	60
h	t-Bu	Ph	85	99	85
i	TMS, H <sup>a</sup>	Ph	98	97	70
j	MeO MeO	Н	71	77	36
k	EtO MeO	Н	67	62	16
1	FF	Н	71	99	8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> TMS acetylene 1i was used as starting material. The TMS group was retained in alcohol 3i and ketone 4i (R=TMS), but lost in the final product 5i (R=H).

were achieved with 2-ME at moderate temperatures (40–55 °C) within a few hours (4–16 h). After aqueous workup, products were purified by column chromatography on  $SiO_2$ . The yields of derivatives with R' = Ph(5a-i) range from satisfying (60% for 5g) to excellent (96% for 5b). For R = Ph(5a) and electron rich aromatics (5b and 5c) yields are reaching 90%. Electron deficient phenyl (5d and 5e) and electron rich (5f) and poor heteroaryl (5g) as well as an aliphatic (5h) gave yields from 60% to 85%. If trimethylsilyl acetylene 1i is used as starting material, the R = TMS group is retained in alcohol 3i and ketone 4i. Cyclization with NaSH·9H<sub>2</sub>O leads to ring closure, but the TMS group is lost presumably due to the strong nucleophilicity of sulfide. In this case the final product 5i is unsubstituted in the 6-position (R = H).

Yields for compounds **5j–l** without a substituent in the 2-position are not satisfying at all (8–36%). This might actually originate from the low stability of the respective starting materials **4j–l**, which decompose under the reaction conditions.

In conclusion, 2,3-dihydrothiopyran-4-one derivatives with unequal substituents in the 2- and 6-position (R, R') are conveniently accessed from terminal alkynes and  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated aldehydes in a three step sequence. The ring closure is achieved from enynones with sulfide. Electron rich and deficient aromatic and heteroaromatic as well as aliphatic substituents have been introduced in the 6-position (R). The yields over three steps range from 40% to 80%. Congeners without a substituent in the 2-position (R' = H) are more difficult to prepare, which is mainly due to the instability of the enynone intermediate product. Overall yields in these cases are much lower (6–20%).

## Acknowledgements

We thank the ALTANA Pharma Konstanz, Germany, for support of this work.

## References and notes

- (a) Ried, W.; Bopp, H. Synthesis 1978, 211; (b) Yamamoto, K.; Yamazaki, S.; Osedo, H.; Murata, I. Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 636; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 635; (c) Yamamoto, K.; Yamazaki, S.; Murata, I. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 5239.
- (a) Reynolds, G. A.; Chen, C. H.; Van Allan, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4456; (b) Chen, C. H.; Reynolds, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 2449; (c) Nakasuji, K.; Nakasuka, M.; Murata, I. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 1143; (d) Chen, C. H.; Reynolds, G. A.; Luss, H. R.; Perlstein, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3282; (e) Fox, J. L.; Chen, C. H.; Luss, H. R. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3551.
- (a) Chen, C. H.; Reynolds, G. A.; Van Allan, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2777; (b) Chen, C. H. Heterocycles 1977, 7, 231; (c) Van Allan, J. A.; Reynolds, G. A.; Chen, C. H. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1977, 14, 1399; (d) Chen, C. H.; Reynolds, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3144.
- 4. Zupan, M. J. Fluorine Chem. 1976, 8, 305.

- (a) Karpov, A. S.; Rominger, F.; Müller, T. J. J. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 1503; (b) Karpov, A. S.; Müller, T. J. J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3451; (c) Dediu, O. G.; Yehia, N. A. M.; Oeser, T.; Polborn, K.; Müller, T. J. J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 1834.
- 6. Representative experimental procedure, (E)-1-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-5-phenyl-4-penten-1-yne (3e): n-BuLi (3.62 mmol, 1.80 ml of a solution in pentane, 2.0 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) was added at -78 °C to a solution of 2,4-difluorophenylacetylene (1e, 500 mg, 3.62 mmol) in abs. THF (9 ml). After further stirring (-78 °C, 90 min), cinnamaldehyde (2a, 530 mg, 3.98 mmol) was added, and the resulting mixture stirred for 90 min at -78 °C. Then a saturated, aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl-solution (20 ml) was added, the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 ml). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and the solvent stripped off. The residue was chromatographed (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexanes/EtOAc 2:1,  $R_f = 0.50$ ) to yield the title compound **3e** (642 mg, 2.38 mmol, 66%) as light yellow crystals, mp 64–66 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.82$  (br s, 1H, OH), 5.29 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 6.36 (dd, J = 15.8, 6.0 Hz, 1H, 4-H), 6.81 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 6.83 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 6.84 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 7.21-7.45 (m, 6H, Ar-H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (62 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 63.41$  (s, CH, C-4), 78.88 (s, C, C-2), 93.03 (s, C, C-1), 104.31 (t,  ${}^2J = 25.8$  Hz, CH, C-3'), 107.40 (dd,  ${}^2J = 15.9$  Hz,  ${}^4J = 4.0$  Hz, C, C-1'), 111.63 (dd,  ${}^2J = 21.9$  Hz,  ${}^4J = 3.8$  Hz, CH, C-5'), 126.91 (s, 2CH), 127.62 (s, CH), 128.23 (s, CH), 128.67 (s, 2CH), 132.37 (s, CH), 134.53 (dd,  ${}^{3}J = 9.7 \text{ Hz}$ ,  ${}^{3}J = 2.6 \text{ Hz}$ , CH, C-6'), 136.05 (s, C), 162.91 (dd,  ${}^{1}J = 252 \text{ Hz}$ ,  ${}^{3}J = 11.3 \text{ Hz}$ , C, C-F), 163.19 (dd,  ${}^{1}J = 266 \text{ Hz}$ ,  ${}^{3}J = 10.9 \text{ Hz}$ , C, C-F) ppm. IR (ATR):  $\lambda^{-1} = 3298 \text{ (w, br)}$ , 1649 (w), 1610 (w), 1587 (w), 1502 (m), 1424 (m), 1322 (w), 1294 (w), 1267 (m), 1217 (m), 1140 (m), 1101 (m), 1075 (w), 1057 (w), 1007 (m), 964 (m), 891 (w), 852 (m), 819 (m), 754 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 270 (100) [M<sup>+</sup>], 251 (46), 220 (30), 193 (8), 165 (76), 151 (19), 138 (48), 127 (52), 104 (55), 91 (43), 77 (30). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>12</sub>F<sub>2</sub>O (270.28): C, 75.55; H, 4.47. Found: C, 75.54; H, 4.48.
- 7. Representative experimental procedure (*E*)-1-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-3-oxo-5-phenyl-4-penten-1-yne (**4e**): MnO<sub>2</sub> (2.9 g, 33 mmol) was portionwise added to a solution of alcohol **3e** (450 mg, 1.66 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 ml). The suspension was stirred for 30 min at 23 °C, then filtered through SiO<sub>2</sub>. The residue was washed several times with EtOAc and the combined filtrates were evaporated to yield the analytically pure title compound **4e** (440 mg, 1.64 mmol, 99%) as a light yellow solid, mp 110–112 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (250 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 6.86$  (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H, 4-H),

- 6.91–6.99 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.43–7.47 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.59–7.67 (m, 3H, Ar–H), 7.99 (d, J=16.1 Hz, 1H, 5-H) ppm.  $^{13}$ C{ $^{1}$ H} NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta=83.74$  (s, C, C-2), 90.95 (t,  $^{3}J=2.4$  Hz, C, C-1), 104.81 (dd,  $^{2}J=25.1$  Hz,  $^{2}J=24.5$  Hz, CH, C-3′), 105.58 (dd,  $^{2}J=15.9$  Hz,  $^{4}J=4.0$  Hz, C, C-1′), 112.35 (dd,  $^{2}J=22.5$  Hz,  $^{4}J=5.9$  Hz, CH, C-5′), 128.34 (s, CH), 128.80 (s, 2CH), 129.12 (s, 2CH), 131.33 (s, CH), 134.03 (s, C), 135.84 (dd,  $^{3}J=10.0$  Hz,  $^{3}J=1.7$  Hz, CH, C-6′), 149.33 (s, CH), 164.35 (d,  $^{1}J=256.7$  Hz, C, C–F), 164.45 (d,  $^{1}J=256.7$  Hz, C, C–F), 177.91 (s, C=O, C-3) ppm. IR (ATR):  $\lambda^{-1}=2215$  (m), 1632 (m), 1604 (m), 1496 (m), 1446 (w), 1425 (w), 1317 (m), 1267 (m), 1224 (m), 1174 (m), 1139 (w), 1093 (m), 1000 (w), 973 (m), 963 (m), 847 (m), 756 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 267 (100) [M<sup>+</sup>-H], 249 (55), 239 (59), 220 (17), 165 (45), 137 (14), 102 (33), 77 (21), 51 (5). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>10</sub>F<sub>2</sub>O (268.26): C, 76.11; H, 3.76. Found: C, 76.01; H, 3.83.
- 8. Representative experimental procedure, 6-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-2-phenylthiopyran-4-one (5e): NaHS·9H<sub>2</sub>O (194 mg, 0.76 mmol) was added to a solution of ketone 4e (100 mg, 0.37 mmol) in 2-ME (13 ml). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at 55 °C, then washed with a saturated, aqueous solution of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (40 ml). The organic layer was extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 25 \text{ ml})$ , and the combined organic phases dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). After filtration, the solvent was stripped off, and the residue purified by chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, hexanes/EtOAc 2:1,  $R_f = 0.56$ ) to yield the title compound **5e** (74 mg, 0.24 mmol, 65%) as a brown oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 2.99$  (dd, J = 16.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 3.18 (dd, J = 16.4, 13.9 Hz, 1H, 3-H), 4.78 (dd, J = 13.9, 3.3 Hz, 1H, 2-H), 6.48 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, 5-H), 6.86–6.97 (m, 2H, Ar–H), 7.33–7.45 (m, 5H, Ar–H), 7.51– 7.60 (m, 1H, Ar-H) ppm.  ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 44.01$  (s, CH<sub>2</sub>, C-3), 47.14 (s, CH, C-2), 105.07 (t,  ${}^2J = 26.0 \text{ Hz}$ , CH, C-3'), 111.90 (dd,  ${}^2J = 21.6 \text{ Hz}$ , CH, C-5'), 121.42 (dd, J = 13.4, 4.9 Hz, C, C-1'), 124.56 (d,  ${}^4J = 5.1 \text{ Hz}$ , CH, C-5), 127.58 (s, 2CH), 128.75 (s, CH), 129.12 (s, 2CH), 131.21 (dd,  ${}^{3}J = 9.9 \text{ Hz}, {}^{3}J = 3.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{ CH}, \text{ C-6'}), 137.46 (s, C), 153.01$ (d,  ${}^{3}J = 1.9 \text{ Hz}$ , C, C-6), 159.75 (dd,  ${}^{1}J = 255.9 \text{ Hz}$ ,  ${}^{3}J =$ 12.4 Hz, C, C-F), 163.92 (dd,  ${}^{1}J = 254.1$  Hz,  ${}^{3}J = 12.0$  Hz, C, C–F), 194.65 (s, C=O, C-4) ppm. IR (ATR):  $\lambda^{-1} = 3062$ (w), 1650 (vs), 1608 (s), 1558 (m), 1496 (s), 1453 (w), 1425 (m), 1264 (br s), 1143 (s), 1100 (s), 975 (m), 917 (w), 850 (m), 813 (w), 733 (m), 698 (m), 619 (w) cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%) = 302 (84) [M<sup>+</sup>], 274 (13), 225 (5), 198 (51), 170 (100), 126 (9), 104 (32), 91 (6), 77 (7), 28 (14). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{17}H_{12}F_2OS$  (302.34): C, 67.54; H, 4.00. Found: C, 67.30; H, 4.09.